

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

equivalent to a given thickness of copper. The ratio of the equivalent thicknesses of aluminium and copper changes a great deal in this short wave-length region of the X-ray spectrum. In the neighborhood of wave-length .3, for instance, an aluminium plate must be twenty-eight times as thick as a copper plate in order to absorb the same fraction of X-radiation. For wave-length .095, however, a plate of aluminium only 6 times as thick as a plate of copper will absorb the same fraction of X-radiation. The estimate of the equivalent thickness of aluminium and copper can be made comparatively easily and rapidly, so that the method furnishes a good means of determining the "effective" wave-length of a beam of X-rays during a treatment with them.

The curve giving the relation between the equivalent thickness and the wave-length will be published in a technical journal. The equivalent thickness for a given short wave-length can be calculated, of course, from equations (3), by dividing the linear coefficient of absorption for copper by that for aluminium.

The coefficient of absorption of a beam of rays that is not monochromatic usually (but not always) decreases as the rays pass through matter. If one measures the coefficient of absorption of such a beam, the results give a kind of average coefficient of absorption for the rays, as they travel through the absorbing material. Similarly, the above-mentioned methods of measuring the "effective" wave-lengths furnish a kind of average value for the "effective" wave-length of the beam as the rays pass through the plates.

- <sup>1</sup> Ithaca, Physic. Rev., August 1915, p. 166.
- <sup>2</sup> Ibid., Sept. 1916, p. 326.
- <sup>3</sup> Ibid., July 1921, p. 13.

## THE EFFECTIVE TEMPERATURE OF 16 STARS AS ESTIMATED FROM THE ENERGY DISTRIBUTION IN THE COMPLETE SPECTRUM

## By W. W. COBLENTZ

Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. Communicated by V. M. Slipher, January 23, 1922

1. Introductory Statement.—Data on the spectral energy distribution of stars as related to that of a black body are very meager. They are the results practically of the spectrophotometric measurements of Wilsing,¹ and of Nordmann,² and the spectral energy curves determined photographically by Plaskett,³ all of which relate to the visible spectrum.

The various methods used to obtain stellar temperatures give different results. For example, Plaskett (loc. cit.) obtained an effective temperature of  $6800^{\circ}$  K for  $\gamma$  Cassiopeiae (Class Bop) by considering the continuous spectrum and  $10,600^{\circ}$  K by considering the bright line spectrum.

In view of the fact that his continuous spectrum measurements terminated at  $0.42~\mu$ , where also is the observed maximum spectral energy, it is possible that the higher estimated temperature is the more nearly correct.

Wilsing, Scheiner and Münch (loc. cit.) also obtained a temperature of  $6800^{\circ}$  K for  $\gamma$  Cassiopeiae. Their temperature measurements of various stars of class B vary from  $7000^{\circ}$  to  $15,000^{\circ}$  K; class A, from  $8000^{\circ}$  to  $12,000^{\circ}$  K; class F from 5000 to  $7000^{\circ}$  K; class G, from 4000 to  $5000^{\circ}$  K; and class M,  $3000^{\circ}$  to  $3500^{\circ}$  K.

While it is to be expected that the various methods must give different results, it is interesting to find a rather close agreement in the estimated stellar temperatures. The agreement is especially close for stars of classes G, K and M, that is, stars having a low temperature.

In a previous paper,<sup>4</sup> data were given on a comparison of stellar radiometers and radiometric measurements of 110 stars using the Crossley reflector of the Lick Observatory at Mt. Hamilton, Calif., the altitude being about 4000 ft. Quantitative measurements were made on stars down to magnitude 5.3, and qualitative measurements to magnitude 6.7. It was found that red stars emit from 2.5 to 3 times as much *total* radiation as blue stars of the same visual magnitude.

These observations were verified by an independent method which consisted in measuring the transmission of stellar radiation through a 1-cm. cell of water, having quartz windows. By this means it was shown that of the *total* radiation emitted, blue stars have about 2 times as much *visible* radiation as yellow stars and about 3 times as much *visible* radiation as red stars.

2. Experimental Procedure.—In the present investigation the spectral energy distribution of a star was determined by means of a series of transmission screens, placed in front of a vacuum thermocouple which was used as the radiometer.

Screens were selected which, either singly or in combination, had a uniformly high transmission over a fairly narrow region of the spectrum terminating abruptly in complete opacity in the rest of the spectrum. By proceeding in this manner the observations required no correction other than that for surface reflection, which amounts to about 9 per cent for the two surfaces of the screen. Corrections were made for absorption by the telescope mirrors, also for atmospheric absorption, using the spectral transmission factors for the sun, as observed by Abbot and Fowle.

By means of these screens (of red and yellow glass, quartz and water) it was possible to obtain the radiation intensity in the spectrum (from the extreme ultra-violet, which is limited by atmospheric transmission and the low reflectivity of the telescope mirrors) at  $0.3\,\mu$  to  $0.43\,\mu$ ;  $0.43\,\mu$  to  $0.60\,\mu$ ;  $0.60\,\mu$  to  $1.4\,\mu$ ;  $1.4\,\mu$  to  $4.1\,\mu$ ; and  $4.1\,\mu$  to  $10\,\mu$ .

In this manner the distribution of energy in the spectra of 16 stars was

determined, thus obtaining for the first time an insight into the radiation intensities in the complete spectrum of a star.

3. Results.—By means of this device it was found that, in the class B and class A stars, the maximum radiation intensity lies in the ultra-violet  $(0.3\,\mu$  to  $0.4\,\mu$ ) while in the cooler, classes K and M, stars, the maximum emission lies at  $0.7\,\mu$  to  $0.9\,\mu$  in the infra-red. From this it appears that the black body temperature (i.e., the temperature which a black body would have to attain in order to emit a similar relative spectral energy distribution) varies from  $3000\,^{\circ}$  C for red, class M, stars to  $10,000\,^{\circ}$  for blue, class B, stars.

This estimate of the effective temperature of a star was obtained by two methods. The first method consisted in making all corrections to the observations, excepting those for atmospheric absorption, and comparing them with the calculated values. using a solar type star ( $\alpha$  Aurigae, class Go) as a standard. This seems permissible, in view of the fact that the observed temperature (6000° K) of  $\alpha$  Aurigae was found to be in close agreement with that assigned to the sun. The stellar temperatures estimated in this manner are given in column 4 of table 1.

The second method consisted in applying all corrections to the observations, including the one for atmospheric absorption, and comparing the results with the calculated values. Applying factors for atmospheric absorption introduces great irregularities in the observed spectral radiation components. This, no doubt, is owing partly to selective emission of the star, and partly to the use of improper transmission factors, which, because of lack of time, could not be determined directly.

The temperature of a star, as estimated from the spectral energy components outside of the atmosphere, extends over a wide range, the average value of which is in good agreement with that obtained by the first method (see column 3 of table 1).

In table 1 are assembled the effective stellar temperatures as determined by various methods. The agreement is especially close for stars of classes G, K and M.

Most of the stars measured are no doubt giants, of which Russell<sup>5</sup> says: "The mean densities of the giant stars diminish rapidly with increasing redness, from one-tenth that of the sun for class A to less than one-twenty-thousandth that of the sun for class M." In speculating on the nature of stellar radiation and stellar temperatures it is interesting to find that the observed radiometric data (see table 2) seem conclusive in showing that the early type (class M) stars are losing heat 3 to 4 times as fast as the more dense, but hotter late-type (class B, A) stars. The least dense, class M, stars must therefore be losing heat by radiation, in which conduction cannot contribute very materially in maintaining the surface at a given temperature.

In the dense stars the shape of the spectral energy curve, and hence our inference of the effective temperature is determined by the spectral emissivity of the surface; while in the less dense stars the radiation emanates from great depths.

TABLE 1 STELLAR TEMPERATURES

		COBLENTZ		WILSING,	NORDMANN	
STAR	CLASS	Calculated	Class Go as Standard	SCHEINER & MÜNCH	& LEMORVAN	NORDMANN
		13000				
$\epsilon$ Orionis	Во	to	13000° K			
		14000° K				
$\beta$ Orionis	B8p	10000 to	10000			
p Orions	ьор	12000	10000			
		8000				
α Lyrae	Ao	. to	8000	9400° K		12200° K
		10000				
α Canis		8000				
Majoris	Ao	to	8000			
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		11000				
C:	A2	8000	9000	9400		
α Cygnii	A2	to 10000	9000	9400		. * 114
		7000				
α Aquilae	A5	to	8000	8100		
		9000				
α Canis		5500				
Minoris	F5	to	6000	7200		
		7500				
α Aurigae	Go	5300 to	6000	7100		
a nungae	Go	6500	0000	1100		
		3500				
α Boötis	Ko	to	4000	3700		
		4500				
β Gemino-		4500				
rum	Ko	to	5500	4900		
		7000				
α Tauri	<b>K</b> 5	2800 to	3500	3500	3600	3500
a raur		4500				3000
		2800				
α Orionis	Ma	to	3000	3000		
		3300				
G	3.5	2500	2000			
α Scorpii	Map	to 3200	3000		•	

β Androm- edae	Ma	3500 to 4500	4000	3200	4300	3700
μ Gemin- orum	Ma	2500 to 3300	3500	3100	3200	
β Pegasi	Mb	2500 to 3200	3000	2800		
Sun	, Go 🕟		5800* to 6200			5320

<sup>\*</sup> Recalculated from Abbot & Fowle, J. Opt. Soc. Am., 5, 1921 (272).

Through the generosity of Drs. V. M. Slipher and C. O. Lampland, the present data were obtained during the past summer, using the 40-inch reflector of the Lowell Observatory, at Flagstaff, Arizona, altitude 73,000 ft. Dr. Lampland personally operated the telescope, thus insuring speed and efficiency. It is a pleasure to record here my grateful acknowledgment for the many courtesies extended me by various members of the Observatory Staff.

TABLE 2

Comparison of the Total Radiation from Stars Having Closely the Same Visual Magnitude

WAGNITODE							
STAR	SPECTRAL CLASS	VISUAL, MAGNITUDE	GALVANOMETER DEFLECTION CM.				
ε Geminorum	G5	3.18	0.58				
μ Geminorum	Ma	3.19	2.11				
$\beta$ Geminorum	Ko	1.21	2.19				
α Scorpii	Map	1.22	8.82				
α Aquilae	<b>A</b> 5	0.90	1.89				
α Tauri	K5	1.06	6.03				
$\alpha$ Orionis	Ma	0.92	15.0				
ρ Ophiuchi	Ko	2.94	0.37				
δ Ophiuchi	Ma	3.03	1.37				
γ Leonis	Ko	2.61	0.99				
$\beta$ Pegasi	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{b}$	2.61	2.96				
α Coronae Borealis	Ao	2.32	0.48				
β Ursa Majoris	Ao	2.44	0.37				
γ Draconis	K5	2.42	1.65				
α Aurigae	Go	0.21	4.91				
α Boötis	Ko	0.24	8.10				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Wilsing, Scheiner and Münch, Publ. Astrophys. Obs., Potsdam, 24, 1920 (No. 74).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nordmann, Paris, *Compt. Rend.*, **149**, 1009 (1038). Nordmann and LeMorvan, *Ibid.*, **173**, 1921 (62).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Plaskett, Mon. Not. R. A. S., 80, 1920 (771).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Coblentz, Washington, Bur. Standards, Bull. 11, 1914 (613).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Russell, Pop. Astron., 22, Nos. 5 and 6, 1914.